UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,653 03/08/2005	Adrian Keith West	47-217	5626
23117 7590 04/11/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC		EXAMINER  KOLKER, DANIEL E	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOO	R		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1649	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS	04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/517,653	WEST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Kolker	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	I Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Application/Control Number: 10/517,653

Art Unit: 1649

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. The preliminary amendment filed 13 December 2004 has been entered. Claims 1-27 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1 – 17 and 26, drawn to methods comprising exposing a neuron to metallothioein.

Group 2, claim(s) 18 – 25 and 27, drawn to compositions.

3. The inventions listed as Groups 1 – 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the first claimed technical feature, a method of exposing neurons to a solution comprising MT-IIA, is not a contribution over the prior art. Ebadi et al. (1998. Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience 12(2-3):103-111) teach contacting neurons with solutions comprising MT-II protein and protecting the neurons from damage (see for example p. 107 last paragraph of the results section, and Table II). As the first stated technical feature is not a contribution over the prior art, it is not a special technical feature according to Rule 13. The technical feature of Group 1 is exposing a neuron to a solution; the technical feature of Group 2 is methallothionein IIA. As the first technical feature is not a special technical feature and because the technical feature of group 1 is not present in the group 2 claims, unity of invention is lacking.

The examiner notes that the reference by Ebadi discusses exposing neurons to MT-II, whereas the instant claims recite "MT-IIA". In each case the relevant protein is MT-II and is 61 amino acids long (see specification p. 3 line 1 and Ebadi p. 104 second complete paragraph). Should applicant traverse on the grounds that the reference by Ebadi is not prior art over claim 1, applicant should point to evidence currently of record, or supply new evidence, which shows

Art Unit: 1649

a novel and non-obvious difference between the two proteins. Absent evidence to the contrary, the proteins alternative referred to as "MT-II" (Ebadi) and "MT-IIA" (instant application) are indistinguishable.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Kolker whose telephone number is (571) 272-3181. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri 8:30AM 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel E. Kolker, Ph.D.

April 5, 2007

ROBERT C. HAYES, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER